

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/531,956	03/21/2000	Bryan M. Eagle III	11459/1	6873
23838 7:	590 01/25/2005		EXAM	INER
KENYON & KENYON 1500 K STREET, N.W., SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			MORGAN, ROBERT W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3626	
			DATE MAILED: 01/25/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
0	09/531,956	EAGLE, BRYAN M.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
1	Robert W. Morgan	3626	
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio	n appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
Period for Reply		ONT. ((0) 500M	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory in - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a non. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communicat ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	lion.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>04 November 2004</u> .		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.		
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for al	lowance except for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits	is
closed in accordance with the practice un	der <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>129,140 and 141</u> is/are pending	in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit			
5)☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>129, 140 and 141</u> is/are rejected	l .		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.	·	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection t			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c			1(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by t	ne Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo a) All b) Some * c) None of:	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received.	<u>-</u> .	
2.☐ Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in A	pplication No	
Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B	·	received in this National Stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	received.	

Attachment(s) 1) Notice of

1)	ΜИ	Notice	of R	References	Cited	(PT	O-892)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: ____.

Application/Control Number: 09/531,956 Page 2

Art Unit: 3626

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant

1. In the amendment filed 11/4/04 the following has occurred: Claims 129, 140 and 141 have been amended and claims 130-139 have been canceled. Now claims 129, 140 and 141 are presented for examination

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 129, 140, and 141 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,711,548 to Rosenblatt.

As per claim 129, Rosenblatt teaches air travel scheduling system and method for scheduling non-scheduled flight using a distributed computer network such as the Internet (see: column 4, lines 44-47). Where Fixed Base Operators (FBO's), through subscription to a directory service or similar listing available over the distributed computer network make their aircraft to air travelers (see: column 4, lines 47-50).

--the claimed receiving availability information including predetermined reservation criteria for each of several unscheduled privately owned aircraft, said reservation criteria including a departure location, a departure time constraint, a minimum number of passengers, and minimum total payment required to reserve the private aircraft is met by travelers (14, Fig. 1) accessing the directory service (12, Fig. 1) via a computer connection and specifying (32, Fig.

2) a departure site, date, destination (34, Fig. 2) and the number of passenger (38, Fig. 2) (see: column 6, lines 7-17). In addition, each independent charter services have its own cost structures that govern its charges for charter flights (see: column 7, lines 40-42);

--the claimed posting via a computer network the availability information on an electronic medium is met by the directory service posting seat availability via a web site (see: column 50-55);

--the claimed receiving reservation bids from a plurality of independent passengers, each of the reservation bids including a specific destination request and a payment offer;

--the claimed selecting the number of received reservation bids, wherein the selected bids collectively match said criteria for an identified private aircraft is met by the system that offers customized itineraries through an efficient matching of aircraft resources with passenger needs (see: column 5, lines 55-57). In addition, Rosenblatt teaches that aircrafts are assigned according distance to be traveled, number of passengers and location of particular aircraft on the specified departure date (see: column 6, lines 18-27);

--the claimed communicating to each of the independent passengers associated with selected bids a first notification of the acceptance is met by all participants being notified in advance of a proposed schedule as soon as the flight has been booked (see: column 6, lines 64-66);

--the claimed communicating to an owner of the identified private aircraft a second notification of the acceptance is met by all participants being notified in advance of a proposed schedule as soon as the flight has been booked (see: column 6, lines 64-66); and

--the claimed scheduling a flight for the identified private aircraft substantially according to the flight schedule is met by the aircrafts being assigned according to the location of particular aircraft on the specified departure date (see: column 6, lines 18-27).

Rosenblatt fails to explicitly teach:

- -- the claimed accepted the selected reservation bids; and
- --the claimed transmitting to the owner of the identified private aircraft a passenger list corresponding to the selected reservation bids and a flight schedule that is substantially consistent with the departure time constraint, the departure location, and specific destination request.

However, Rosenblatt teaches that all participants are notified in advance of a proposed schedule as soon as the flight has been booked (see: column 6, lines 64-66). The Examiner considers notification of the flight being booked as acceptance of the reservation. In addition, Rosenblatt also teaches that once a customized charter flight has been booked, its flight plan is filed with the FAA in the same manner as that followed by the general aviation users of air space. The Examiner considers the flight plan to include a passenger list corresponding to the selected reservation bids and a flight schedule that is substantially consistent with the departure time constraint, the departure location, and specific destination request. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to include transmitting a passenger list to the private aircraft owners within the travel scheduling system as taught by Rosenblatt with the motivation of providing aircraft owners with accurate and updated passenger information thereby utilizing all the seats that are available in order to satisfy the cost of the flight.

As per claim 140, Rosenblatt teaches a method for utilizing private aircraft as a public passenger service comprising:

--the claimed reservation service operated by a reservation service owner is met by the air travel schedule directory service (12, Fig. 1);

--the claimed plurality of unscheduled airplanes, each owned and operated by a private airplane owner, each airplane owner independent of another such airplane owner and independent of said reservation served owner is met by the fixed base operators (FBO's) (16, Fig. 1) and other charter-type flight providers (20, Fig. 1);

--the claimed said reservation service to receive electronically from each airplane owner a flight availability each of said flight availabilities including a departure location, a list of possible destination locations, a departure time constraint, and a minimum total payment, said minimum total payment expressed as a function of available seats and price per seat is by the directory service that maintains a current and schedule location of all aircraft available through the services as well as updating the listing with every reservation (see: column 6, lines 35-41). In addition, travelers (14, Fig. 1) accessing the directory service (12, Fig. 1) via a computer connection and specifying (32, Fig. 2) a departure site, date, destination (34, Fig. 2) and the number of passenger (38, Fig. 2) (see: column 6, lines 7-17). Furthermore, each independent charter services have its own cost structures that govern its charges for charter flights (see: column 7, lines 40-47);

--the claimed said reservation to post via a computer network each of said flight availabilities on an electronic medium is met by the directory service posting seat availability via a web site (see: column 50-55);

commissions (see: column 7, lines 60-63);

--the claimed said reservation service to receive reservation bids electronically from a plurality of independent passengers, said passengers independent of said reservation service owner and said airplane owners, each of said reservation bids including a specific destination request and a payment offer is met by travelers (14, Fig. 1) accessing the directory service (12, Fig. 1) via a computer connection and specifying (32, Fig. 2) a departure site, date, destination (34, Fig. 2) and the number of passenger (38, Fig. 2) (see: column 6, lines 7-17). In addition, Rosenblatt teaches that payment is made to the charter flight operator by the network booking agency on the basis of the alternative scales for network charter bookings less server

Page 6

--the claimed said reservation service to accept a number of said reservation bids and to reserve a matching flight availability if the number collectively satisfies the minimum total payment, departure location, destination location, and departure time constraints associated with said matching flight availability is met by the system that offers customized itineraries through an efficient matching of aircraft resources with passenger needs (see: column 5, lines 55-57). In addition, Rosenblatt teaches that aircrafts are assigned according distance to be traveled, number of passengers and location of particular aircraft on the specified departure date (see: column 6, lines 18-27). Furthermore, each independent charter services have its own cost structures that govern its charges for charter flights (see: column 7, lines 40-47). In addition, Rosenblatt teaches that payment is made to the charter flight operator by the network booking agency on the basis of the alternative scales for network charter bookings less server commissions (see: column 7, lines 60-63);

-- the claimed said reservation service to transmit a notification of said reserved flight availability to the owner of said airplane associated with said reserved flight availability is met by all participants being notified in advance of a proposed schedule as soon as the flight has been booked (see: column 6, lines 64-66); and

--the claimed said reservation service to transmit a notification of said acceptance to each of the passengers associated with the accepted reservation bid is met by all participants being notified in advance of a proposed schedule as soon as the flight has been booked (see: column 6, lines 64-66).

Rosenblatt fails to teach:

-- the claimed said reservation service to transmit electronically to the owner of said airplane associated with said reserved flight availability a passenger list and a flight schedule that is substantially consistent with said departure time constraints, said departure location, and said destination location;

--the claimed said reservation service to schedule a flight for the airplane substantially according to the flight schedule;

- -- the claimed said airplane to fly a flight substantially according to said flight schedule;
- -- the claimed said reservation service to collect a payment from each of the passengers, said payment corresponding to said payment offer; and
- -- the claimed said reservation service to transfer said collect payment to said owner of said airplane associated with said reserved flight availability.

However, Rosenblatt teaches that all participants are notified in advance of a proposed schedule as soon as the flight has been booked (see: column 6, lines 64-66). The Examiner

considers the notification to all the parties to include an electronic transmission to the owner of the private airplane. In addition, Rosenblatt teaches that once a customized charter flight has been booked, its flight plan is filed with the FAA in the same manner as that followed by the general aviation users of air space. The Examiner considers that flight plan to include a passenger list and flight schedule in order to fly a flight substantially according to flight schedule. Furthermore, Rosenblatt teaches that a payment is made to the charter flight operator by the network booking agency on the basis of the alternative scales for network charter bookings less server commissions (see: column 7, lines 60-63). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have found it obvious to includes transmitting a passenger list and flight schedule in order to fly a flight substantially according to flight schedule within the travel scheduling system as taught by Rosenblatt with the motivation of providing aircraft owners with accurate and updated flight schedule information in order to satisfy the cost of the flight.

As per claim 141, Rosenblatt teaches the claimed reservation service to terminate on of said flight availabilities if said reservation service fails to match a number of reservation bids with said flight availability such that said minimum total payment requirement is met. This feature is met by the minimum passenger booking per flight which represents a break-even point for operating cost vs. fare revenue, associated with selection of the aircraft type for a given trip assignment (see: column 10, lines 26-30). In addition, Rosenblatt teaches that data is needed to assembly the economics of operating "charter services" such as cost per operating hour that leads to projections of ticket prices (see: column 11, lines 56-61).

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 129, 140 and 141 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert W. Morgan whose telephone number is (703) 605-4441. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m. Mon - Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Thomas can be reached on (703) 305-9588. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rwn rwm

ALEXANDER KALINOWSKI PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 9